

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/717,049	11/18/2003	Richard Martin	980049.410C1	8911	
40211 75	40211 7590 08/22/2005 ·		EXAMINER		
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C		
	701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626	•	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/717,049	MARTIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Deborah C. Lambkin	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2005.					
·	•						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
A44	M-)		DEBURANG: DAMBKIN				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Inform	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	o,					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,049

Art Unit: 1626

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-66 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-62 of U.S. Patent No. 6,696,473. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because combining the same compounds in both applications with a known drug for the same use is prima facie obvious. The compositions are used for essentially the same diseases.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 30-34,37-38,41-49 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "treating or ameliorating",

Application/Control Number: 10/717,049

Art Unit: 1626

does not reasonably provide enablement for "preventing or modulating" the various diseases or disorders or symptoms thereof. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. ***

Although these type claim language have been allowed in the parent case, the office is not rejecting them with the director's approval and signature if required.

Basically these claims are considered "reach through" claims and are not sufficiently enabled. The data provided in the instant specification does not support for such vast claims to such vast methods of use. Claims especially to "modulating the activity of the nuclear receptor" are no longer permitted because one cannot ascertain the metes and bounds of such a claim. Furthermore, the language of treating, "one or more symptoms of a disease or disorder" or "complications thereof ", should be deleted and replaced with conventional language like, " A method for treating atherosclerosis or diabetes, etc.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have to practice unduly to find out which symptoms, which complications, which diseases are treated or ameliorated under the nuclear receptors and more so which diseases are prevented and modulated as claimed. The specification failed to provide sufficient data to support these claims.

It is suggested that application remove all the vague and speculative language to overcome this rejection. Applicant is invited to contact the examiner further clarification.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,049

Art Unit: 1626

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 571-272-0698.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on 571-272-0699.

Deborah C. Lambkin

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626